



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 A_t

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,565	01/17/2001	Mari Horiguchi	450100-02944	6885	
20999 75	590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11217 101111, 1			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/764,5		HORIGUCHI ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Brian D N		2661				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (riod for reply is specified above, the maximum so o reply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tuttory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on the application	filed 1/17/01.					
		2b)⊠ This action is						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5) □ C 6) ☑ C 7) ☑ C 8) □ C		are withdrawn from co						
	e specification is objected to by the	·						
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	oplicant may not request that any objects	= : :	•	, ,				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected t				•			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or D(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/764,565

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4 and 7are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 9, "said device" is unclear which one of the output device and the different device" in line 4 the applicant is referring to.

Claim 3, line 3, "a kind of the plug" is unclear. Please clarify what kind the applicant is referring to.

Claim 7, line 6, "the pertinent kind" is unclear. Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) (page 2, lines 20-24 of the specification) in view of Kwon et al (6,667,987).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,565

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claims 1-2, the APA discloses in which an output device can sent an order for

Page 3

setting so that output data of the output device may be inputted to a data input section of the

input device, the input device conducts input setting based on the order (see page 2, lines 20-24

of the specification setting a communication between an input device and an output device). The

APA does not disclose the output device can sent a request for cancellation of the input setting.

However, Kwon discloses a method and a system in which a setting requested by a output device

can be cancelled (see col. 3, lines 19-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cancel the setting as taught by

Kwon in the system of the APA in order to control the transmission between output devices and

input device.

Regarding claims 5-6, claims 5-6 are apparatus claims that have substantially all the

limitations of the respective method claims 1-2. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

6.

Claims 3-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2661

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Nguyen

4/4/04